

26

1 Bodily, by and through their counsel of record, the law firms of Gibbs Giden Locher Turner
2 Senet & Wittbrodt and Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP, and stipulate and agree as follows.

3 **It Is Hereby Stipulated** that all claims stated in the pending action against Defendant
4 Payvision, B.V., be dismissed with prejudice, in their entirety, these settling parties to bear their
5 own fees and costs. This dismissal shall not apply to the other named defendants in this action.
6 Plaintiff's motion to add Payvision US, Inc., Scott Bodily and Joe Emig to this action is
7 withdrawn with prejudice.

8 **It Is Further Stipulated** this stipulation does not affect remaining claims against any
9 other named Defendants and all other pending hearings shall remain unaffected by this
10 Stipulation.

11 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

12 DATED this 14th day of February, 2023.

13 By: /s/ Adam Allen
14 David Liebrader, Esq.
15 State Bar No. 5048
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Ste 500
Las Vegas, Nv 89169

16 Adam Allen, Esq.
17 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77019
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

19 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

20 DATED this 14th day of February, 2023.

21 GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER SENET & WITTBRODT

22 By: /s/ Giorgio Sassine
23 Richard E. Haskin, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 11592
Steven Mack, Esq.

24 Revised Stipulation of Dismissal of Payvision BV.docx

Nevada State Bar No. 4000
7251 W. Lake Mead Boulevard., #450
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Nathan D. O'Malley, Esq.
CA Bar No. 212193 (Pro Hac Vice)
Peter J. Diedrich, Esq.
CA Bar No. 101649 (Pro Hac Vice)
Giorgio A. Sassine, Esq.
CA Bar No. 324639 (Pro Hac Vice)
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
624 S. Grand Avenue, #2000
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3383
*Attorney for Specially Appearing Payvision,
B.V.*

ORDER

Based on the foregoing,

IT IS SO ORDERED that all claims stated in the pending action against defendant Payvision, B.V. are dismissed, with prejudice, in their entirety, these settling parties to bear their own fees and costs.

Because the joint stipulation to dismiss claims against Payvision, B.V. was refiled rather than filed as a corrected image, **ECF No. 55 is DENIED as moot.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Payvision, B.V.'s motion to dismiss [ECF No. 19] is **DENIED as moot.**



THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 15, 2023